



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

7. Shipping (§ 116*)—Verdict for Breach of Contract for Shipment of Cement Not Excessive.—In a steamship company's action for breach of contract to ship 40,000 barrels of cement, where it saved only about \$307 in fuel and oil by keeping its boat idle while waiting for the cement, and the jury deducted over \$1,100 from the amount of its claim, damages held not excessive.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 4 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 202.]

Error to Circuit Court of City of Richmond.

Action by the Virginia Steamship Company against the Lehigh Portland Cement Company. Judgment for plaintiff, and defendant brings error. Affirmed.

Page & Leary, of Richmond, for plaintiff in error.

Frank T. Sutton, Jr., of Richmond, for defendant in error.

GARRETT v. RAHILY et al.

March 16, 1922.

[111 S. E. 110.]

Sales (§ 473 (2)*—Instruction Excluding Issue of Innocent Purchase of Automobile Held Erroneous.—An automobile was sold and delivered, with title reserved by recorded contract of conditional sale, to be resold by vendee, and was purchased and paid for by defendant. Held, error to instruct that, if jury found that the automobile purchased was the same car mentioned in the contract of sale, they should find for the plaintiffs, as excluding the question of whether or not defendant was an innocent purchaser for value and without notice.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 12 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 61.]

Error to Law and Chancery Court of City of Norfolk.

Action of detinue by Daniel Rahily and James R. Martin, trading as Rahily & Martin, against W. F. Garrett, for an automobile. From a judgment for plaintiffs, defendant appeals. Reversed and remanded.

A. Johnston Ackiss, of Norfolk, for plaintiff in error.

S. M. Brandt and Moses Ehrenworth, both of Norfolk, for defendants in error.

DALEY v. COMMONWEALTH.

March 16, 1922.

[111 S. E. 111.]

1. Criminal Law (§§ 1092 (4), 1144 (17*)—Bills of Exceptions Must Be Tendered for Signature within 60 Days; Judgment Presumed Correct.—Code 1919, § 6252, is mandatory, and court properly refused

*For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.